IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

COMPANY PETITION No. 134 of 1993

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

T V ADVANI - Petitioner(s)
Versus
RAJKUMAR SYNTHETICS MILLS LTD - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR BHARAT JANI for Petitioner(s) : 1,
DS AFF.NOT FILED (N) for Respondent(s) : 1,
NANAVATI ASSOCIATES for Respondent(s) : 1,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Date : 14/11/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

Shri Chetan P. Pandya, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that he and/or Shri Bharat Jani, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner has no instructions from his clients since

last three year and he pleads no instructions. He has also further submitted that so far as other company petitions are concerned i.e. Company Petition Nos.30 to 32 of 1993, the same are withdrawn by the respective petitioners today and therefore, he has requested to pass appropriate order.

Hence, this petition is disposed of with a liberty in favour of the petitioner to move an appropriate petition, if the cause survives and/or of there is any grievance and as and when such a petition is filed, the same can be dealt with in accordance with law and on merits.

With this the present company petition is disposed of. No costs.

(M.R.SHAH,J.)

shekhar/-